United States of America

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	V.	) ` ~	5.40 OD 20 4EL	
	KENNETH EUGENE MCCOY	) Case No.	5:16-CR-32-1FL	
	Defendant	)		
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL				
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18	U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Find	lings of Fact		
☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted				
of $\square$ a federal offense $\square$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal				
jurisdiction had existed - that is				
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more		offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or n	nore is prescribed in	
			*.	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or		•	
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:		
	☐ a minor victim			
	$\Box$ the possession or use of a firearm or destr	ructive device or	any other dangerous weapon	
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22:	50		
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.			
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the 🗆 date of	conviction ☐ the defendant's release	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1	).		
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable of another person or the community. I further find	•	·	
	Alternative 2	Findings (A)		
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year			
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	•		
	, ,			

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.				
4	Alternative Findings (B)				
<b>(</b> 1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.				
<b>Y</b> (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.				
	Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention				
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by  clear and					
	ncing evidence				
	For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community.  The nature of the charges  The apparent strength of the government's case  The lack of a suitable custodian				
	The indication of substance abuse  The fact that the charges arose while on state probation				
	The defendant's criminal history  The history of probation revocations				
	Other:				
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention				
	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement prrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody as appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense coursel. On				

pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility

must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: February 22, 2016

Robert T Numbers II

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge Printed name and title